

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected independent claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Hrovat patent in view of the Canfield patent and claim 10 was indicated as allowable.

Accordingly, claim 8 is amended by incorporating the limitations of allowable claim 10 therein. Consequently, independent claim 8 is now allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, the claims of the present application patentably distinguish over the art applied by the Examiner. Accordingly, allowance of these claims and issuance of the present application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
6600 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 258-5000
CUSTOMER NO. 000128

By: 

Trevor B. Joike
Registration No.: 25,542
Attorney for Applicants

February 13, 2006